

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,434 | 03/03/2004 | Charles T. Hatch | 146392 | 2433 |
| 23413 | 7590 10/06/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP | | | DESTA, ELIAS | |
| | ROAD SOUTH ELD, CT 06002 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2857 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | 10/708,434 | HATCH, CHARI | LES T. | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Elias Desta | 2857 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress | | |
| | | • | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); (CFR 1.114). | or (3) a timely filed | Request for | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | lly, to the non- | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 35). | | | | |
|), which is after the expiration of the statutory policy Allowance (PTOL-85). | eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | nd publication fee) s | set in the Notice of | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requestionability (PTO-37). | • | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire | interest, or all of | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clai | rence rendered on and because ms. | se the period for sec | eking court review | | |
| 7. Marca The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Examiner contacted Mr. John F. Buckart (attorney fresponse has been filed. | for the Applicant) on September 2 | 27, 2006, and Mar Mark Mark Mark | ned that no | | |
| | SUPEI TE | RVISORY PATENT EYA CHNOLOGY CENTER 2 | Miner 1800 | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawinimize any negative effects on patent term. | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | e promptly filed to | | |